



CRM-M-50353-2026

1

213

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-50353-2026
Date of decision: 01.10.2026

JATIN PRAKASH MALIK

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

...RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL

Present: Mr. Shubham Aggarwal, Advocate and
Ms. Suksham Aggarwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Vijay Kumar, AAG, Haryana

H.S.GREWAL,J. (ORAL)

1. The instant petition has been filed under Section 482 Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, prayer is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case bearing FIR No. 50 dated 11.03.2026 under Sections 316(2), 318(4) and 351 (2) of the BNS, 2023 (Sections 406,420 and 506 IPC) registered at Police Station Mullana, District Ambala.

2. This Court, vide order dated 07.09.2026, had directed the petitioner to appear before the SHO/Investigating Officer and join investigation and in the event of his arrest, he was ordered to be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the SHO/Investigating Officer, subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 482(2) of the BNSS (erstwhile Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.).

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of the order dated 07.09.2026, passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined and cooperated with the investigation.



CRM-M-50353-2026

2

4. Mr. Rajnish Gupta, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of the complainant and has filed memo of appearance in the Court today, which is taken on record. He has vehemently opposed the prayer made by ld. counsel for the petitioner.

5. Learned State counsel states that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for further custodial interrogation.

6. In view of the statement made by learned State counsel, the petition is allowed and the interim order dated 07.09.2026 is made absolute. The petitioner shall continue to join investigation, as and when called by the Investigating Officer and shall also abide by the conditions as provided under Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

7. However, it is clarified that the investigating authority on addition of an offence or offences may not proceed to arrest the accused/petitioner, but for arresting the accused/petitioner on such addition of offence or offences it needs to obtain an order to arrest the accused/petitioners from the Court which had granted the bail in view of the judgment passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Sumit Vs State of U.P. and Another*, Criminal Appeal No. 830 of 2026, decided on 09.02.2026.

8. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

01.10.2026

renu

(H.S.GREWAL)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No